

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Aileen Jennings [favoured@go.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 12:14 AM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Aileen Jennings  
2244 Dickens Terrace  
Newark, DE 19702

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aileen Jennings  
302-834-2182

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Aileen Jennings [favoured@go.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 12:14 AM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Aileen Jennings  
2244 Dickens Terrace  
Newark, DE 19702

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aileen Jennings  
302-834-2182

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Aileen Jennings [favoured@go.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 12:14 AM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Aileen Jennings  
2244 Dickens Terrace  
Newark, DE 19702

October 19, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aileen Jennings  
302-834-2182

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Aileen Jennings [favoured@go.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 12:14 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Aileen Jennings  
2244 Dickens Terrace  
Newark, DE 19702

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aileen Jennings  
302-834-2182

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** alea joy kimmel [onegypsygirl2000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:01 AM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

alea joy kimmel  
LPN  
nursing  
1520 W. TOUHY  
CHICAGO,, IL 60626

October 17, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

alea joy kimmel  
LPN  
nursing

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** alea joy kimmel [onegypsygirl2000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:01 AM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

alea joy kimmel  
LPN  
nursing  
1520 W. TOUHY  
CHICAGO,, IL 60626

October 17, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

alea joy kimmel  
LPN  
nursing

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** alea joy kimmel [onegypsygirl2000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:01 AM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

alea joy kimmel  
LPN  
nursing  
1520 W. TOUHY  
CHICAGO,, IL 60626

October 17, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

alea joy kimmel  
LPN  
nursing

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** alea joy kimmel [onegypsygirl2000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:01 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

alea joy kimmel  
LPN  
nursing  
1520 W. TOUHY  
CHICAGO,, IL 60626

October 17, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

alea joy kimmel  
LPN  
nursing



**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Alfred Hoffman [adhoffman@vdial.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 11:56 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Alfred Hoffman  
871 Winlock-Vader Rd  
Winlock, Washington 98596

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Alfred Hoffman  
(360) 785-4193

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Alfred Hoffman [adhoffman@vdial.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 11:56 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Alfred Hoffman  
871 Winlock-Vader Rd  
Winlock, Washington 98596

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Alfred Hoffman  
(360) 785-4193

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Alfred Hoffman [adhoffman@vdial.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 11:56 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Alfred Hoffman  
871 Winlock-Vader Rd  
Winlock, Washington 98596

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Alfred Hoffman  
(360) 785-4193

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Alfred Hoffman [adhoffman@vdial.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 11:56 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Alfred Hoffman  
871 Winlock-Vader Rd  
Winlock, Washington 98596

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps  
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Alfred Hoffman  
(360) 785-4193

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Alfred Hoffman [adhoffman@vdial.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 11:56 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Alfred Hoffman  
871 Winlock-Vader Rd  
Winlock, Washington 98596

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Alfred Hoffman  
(360) 785-4193

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Alisa Santillan [ajsees2003@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 11:22 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Alisa Santillan  
1209 M L King Jr Dr  
Copperas Cove, TX 76522

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Alisa K. Santillan  
800-800-8000

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Alisa Santillan [ajsees2003@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 11:22 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Alisa Santillan  
1209 M L King Jr Dr  
Copperas Cove, TX 76522

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Alisa K. Santillan  
800-800-8000

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Alisa Santillan [ajsees2003@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 11:22 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Alisa Santillan  
1209 M L King Jr Dr  
Copperas Cove, TX 76522

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Alisa K. Santillan  
800-800-8000



**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Alisa Santillan [ajsees2003@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 11:22 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Alisa Santillan  
1209 M L King Jr Dr  
Copperas Cove, TX 76522

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps  
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Alisa K. Santillan  
800-800-8000

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Alisa Santillan [ajsees2003@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 11:22 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Alisa Santillan  
1209 M L King Jr Dr  
Copperas Cove, TX 76522

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Alisa K. Santillan  
800-800-8000

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Alphonda S. Thorn [Alphonda@cfaith.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 10, 2004 1:05 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Cc:** Alphie  
**Subject:** A la cart programming

Dear Mr. Powell,

This is a copy of a letter addressed to Congressman Rangel. Please do not allow this proposal to go through.

Dear Congressman Rangel,

As one of your constituents, I am writing to ask your assistance on the following issue. There is a new proposal by the U.S. Congress and Federal Communications Commission that would severely limit access to religious programming.

The Congress and FCC propose an "a la carte" per-channel-charge that would limit broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming. I believe the effects of the "a la carte cable regulation" proposal would be devastating to religious broadcasting and to the millions of people who watch them. It would cut broadcasts from over 90 million households to just a few million.

As a Christian and a cable subscriber, it is already been next to impossible to get the cable company to have more religious broadcast channels. At every turn the cable company has denied every letter and numerous petitions from thousands of people. I have personally signed petitions with more than enough names and been told there is no interest which is a lie. If this proposal goes through we will not be able to watch various ministries and programs that are vital to our faith and well-being.

The voice of the people is not being heard or heeded and this must stop. Please do not abstain from voting and please do not vote in favor of the proposed "a la carte cable regulation". To do so would be a great disservice to your constituents, especially since the majority of them are Christian. Continue to serve us well by striking down this proposed regulation.

Thank you for your assistance in preserving our original rights and many thanks for serving this community and state.

Very truly yours,

Alphonda S. Thorn

cc: Federal Communications Commission

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Amanda Hall [AMANDAKATHRYNHALL@YAHOO.COM]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:07 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Amanda Hall  
2003A Leeann  
Austin, TX 78758

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amanda K. Hall  
512-757-3877

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Amanda Hall [AMANDAKATHRYNHALL@YAHOO.COM]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:07 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Amanda Hall  
2003A Leeann  
Austin, TX 78758

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amanda K. Hall  
512-757-3877

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Amanda Hall [AMANDAKATHRYNHALL@YAHOO.COM]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:07 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Amanda Hall  
2003A Leeann  
Austin, TX 78758

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amanda K. Hall  
512-757-3877

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Amanda Hall [AMANDAKATHRYNHALL@YAHOO.COM]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:07 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Amanda Hall  
2003A Leeann  
Austin, TX 78758

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amanda K. Hall  
512-757-3877